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To develop a directed type theory.
To formalize theorems about:

- Higher category theory
- Directed homotopy theory
- Concurrent processes


## Criteria

- Directed paths are introduced as terms of a type former, hom, to be added to Martin-Löf type theory
- Transport along terms of hom
- Independence of hom and Id
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## Syntactically
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## Syntactically

Martin-Löf's Id type is symmetric/undirected since for any type $T$, and terms $a, b: T$, there is a function

$$
i: \operatorname{ld}_{T}(a, b) \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{T}(b, a)
$$

so that any path $p: \operatorname{ld}_{T}(a, b)$ can be inverted to obtain a path $i p: \operatorname{ld}_{T}(b, a)$.

- Can think of these terms as undirected paths
- Can we design a type former of directed paths that resembles Id but without its inversion operation $i$ ?
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## Directed spaces and concurrency

Concurrent processes can be represented by directed spaces.


- $A, B$ are two processes
- $m, n$ are two memory locations
- which can be locked ( $L$ ) or unlocked ( $U$ ) by each process


## Fundamental questions:

- Which states are safe?
- Which states are reachable?
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## Rules for hom: introduction

$$
\frac{T \operatorname{TYPE} t: T^{\text {core }}}{1_{t}: \operatorname{hom}_{T}\left(i^{\text {op }} t, i t\right) \quad \mathrm{TYPE}}
$$

Id introduction

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T \mathrm{TYPE} & t: T \\
\hline r_{t}: \operatorname{ld}_{T}(t, t) & \text { TYPE }
\end{array}
$$

Rules for hom: right elimination and computation
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## Rules for hom: left elimination and computation

$$
\begin{gathered}
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## Id elimination and computation

$$
\frac{\begin{array}{c}
T \text { TYPE } \\
s: T, t: T, f: \operatorname{Id}_{T}(s, t) \vdash D(f) \text { TYPE } \quad s: T \vdash d(s): D\left(r_{s}\right) \\
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## Syntactic results

- Transport: for a dependent type $t: T \vdash S(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t: T^{\text {core }}, t^{\prime}: T, f: \operatorname{hom}_{T}\left(i^{\circ \mathrm{op}} t, t^{\prime}\right), s: S(i t) \\
& \vdash \operatorname{transport}_{\mathrm{R}}(s, f): S\left(t^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Syntactic results

- Transport: for a dependent type $t: T \vdash S(t)$ :
$t: T^{\text {core }}, t^{\prime}: T, f: \operatorname{hom}_{T}\left(i^{\circ \mathrm{P}} t, t^{\prime}\right), s: S(i t)$
$\vdash$ transport $_{\mathrm{R}}(s, f): S\left(t^{\prime}\right)$
- Composition: for a type $T$ :
$r: T^{\mathrm{op}}, s: T^{\text {core }}, t: T, f: \operatorname{hom}_{T}(r, i s), g: \operatorname{hom}_{T}\left(i^{\mathrm{op}} s, t\right)$
$\vdash \operatorname{comp}_{\mathrm{R}}(f, g): \operatorname{hom}_{T}(r, t)$
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## The interpretation

- Use the framework of comprehension categories
- Dependent types are represented by functors $T: \Gamma \rightarrow C a t$.
- In the empty context, $\operatorname{hom}_{T}(s, t)$ is the usual homset, and the introduction rule just gives $1_{t}: \operatorname{hom}_{T}(t, t)$.
- Plugs into the homotopy theory of morphisms, as the interpretation of the Id type plugs into the homotopy theory of isomorphisms.

Outline

## Summary \& future work

## Summary

We have:

- a directed type theory


## Summary \& future work

## Summary

We have:

- a directed type theory
- with a model in Cat.


## Summary \& future work

## Summary

We have:

- a directed type theory
- with a model in Cat.


## Future work

We need to:

- integrate this into traditional Martin-Löf type theory
- integrate Id and hom in the same theory
- specify $\Sigma, \Pi$, etc


## Summary \& future work

## Summary

We have:

- a directed type theory
- with a model in Cat.


## Future work

We need to:

- integrate this into traditional Martin-Löf type theory
- integrate Id and hom in the same theory
- specify $\Sigma, \Pi$, etc
- find interpretations in categories of directed spaces
- build 'directed' weak factorization systems
- build universes


## Summary \& future work

## The future

We aim to define and reason about

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { isReachable }(T):=\Sigma_{x: T} \operatorname{hom}_{T}(i, x) \\
\text { isSafe }(T):=\Sigma_{x: T^{\text {oр }}} \operatorname{hom}_{T}(x, f)
\end{gathered}
$$

for any type $T$ with terms $i: T^{\mathrm{op}}, f: T$.

Thank you!

## The interpretation

- Use the framework of comprehension categories
- Dependent types are represented by functors $T: \Gamma \rightarrow C a t$.
- Dependent terms are represented by natural transformations

where $*: \Gamma \rightarrow C a t$ is the functor which takes everything to the one-object category.
- Context extension is represented by the Grothendieck construction which takes each functor $T: \Gamma \rightarrow C a t$ to the Grothendieck opfibration

$$
\pi_{\Gamma}: \int_{\Gamma} T \rightarrow \Gamma
$$

## Interpreting core and op in the empty context



| $T$ TYPE | $t: T^{\text {core }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $i t: T$ | $i^{\mathrm{op}} t: T^{\mathrm{op}}$ |

For any category $T$,

- $T^{\text {core }}:=\mathrm{ob}(T)$
- $T^{\mathrm{op}}:=T^{\mathrm{op}}$
- $i: T^{\text {core }} \rightarrow T$ and $i^{\text {op }}: T^{\text {core }} \rightarrow T^{\mathrm{op}}$ are the identity on objects.


## Interpreting hom formation and introduction

$\frac{T \text { TYPE } s: T^{\text {op }} t: T}{\operatorname{hom}_{T}(s, t) \text { TYPE }} \quad \frac{T \text { TYPE } t: T^{\text {core }}}{1_{t}: \operatorname{hom}_{T}\left(i^{\text {op }} t, i t\right) \operatorname{TYPE}}$

For any category $T$,

- Take the functor

$$
\text { hom : } T^{\mathrm{op}} \times T \rightarrow \text { Set } \hookrightarrow \text { Cat. }
$$

- Take the natural transformation

where each component $1_{t}: * \rightarrow$ hom $(t, t)$ picks out the identity morphism of $t$.


## Interpreting right hom elimination and computation
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- Use the fact that the subcategory $T^{\text {core }}$ is 'initial':
- for every $(s, t, f) \in \int_{T_{\text {core }} \times T}$ hom there is a unique morphism $\left(1_{s}, f\right):\left(s, s, 1_{s}\right) \rightarrow(s, t, f)$ with domain in $T^{\text {core }}$
- Set $e_{R}(d)_{(s, t, f)}:=D\left(1_{s}, f\right) d_{\left(s, s, 1_{s}\right)}$


## Interpreting left hom elimination and computation

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \text { TYPE } s: T^{\mathrm{op}}, t: T^{\text {core }}, f: \operatorname{hom}_{T}(s, i t) \vdash D(f) \text { TYPE } \\
s: T^{\text {core }} \vdash d(s): D\left(1_{s}\right) \\
\hline s: T^{\mathrm{op}}, t: T^{\text {core }}, f: \operatorname{hom}_{T}(s, i t) \vdash e_{L}(d, f): D(f) \\
s: T^{\text {core }} \vdash e_{L}\left(d, 1_{s}\right) \equiv d(s): D\left(1_{s}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Replace $T$ by $T^{\text {op }}$ and apply right hom elimination and computation.


## A homotopical perspective

While the homotopy theory of isomorphisms in categories

$$
\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(\cong)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}
$$

provides an interpretation of Martin-Löf's identity type, the homotopy theory of morphisms in categories

$$
\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(\rightarrow)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}
$$

provides an interpretation of this hom former.

## The weak factorization system

- Let $(\cong)$ denote the category with two objects and one isomorphism between them.
- Let $(\rightarrow)$ denote the category with two objects and one morphism between them.
- Then factorize the codiagonal of the one-point category in two ways

$$
*+* \quad \rightarrow(\cong) \rightarrow \quad * \quad *+* \quad \rightarrow \quad(\rightarrow) \quad \rightarrow \quad *
$$

- which produces a factorization of any diagonal in two ways which each generate weak factorization systems.

$$
\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(\cong)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \quad \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(\rightarrow)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}
$$

- The first gives an interpretation of the Id type in Cat.
- The second underlies this interpretation of the hom type in Cat.


## The weak factorization system continued

- The right class of this weak factorization system are those functors $p: E \rightarrow B$ which have the enriched lifting property

- so all Grothendieck opfibrations (dependent projections) are in the right class.
- The functor 1. : $T^{\text {core }} \hookrightarrow \int_{T^{\text {core }} \times T}$ hom is the left part of the factorization of

$$
i: T^{\text {core }} \rightarrow T .
$$

- Then the right hom elimination and computation rule arises from the weak factorization system.


$$
\int_{T \text { core } \times T} \text { hom }=\int_{T \text { core } \times T} \text { hom }
$$

